

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127499
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of green onion
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of green onion" is very important on the context that it deals with improving the yield of green onion which is a widely consume crop in India and world as a whole. The authors have done the experiment scientifically using appropriate design and methodology considering every factors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is simple but suitable one.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fine in its present form.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate. However, the author have not number the sections and subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have done the experiment employing appropriate design and methodology. The statistical analyses are sufficient to interpret the data. The findings are in parallel to previous findings with proper reasoning.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are fine. The authors can add some more references on discussion part.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In the present form I would say <b>NO</b> . But correction can be done and accepted. The introduction and methodology parts are fine. However, the result and discussion part needs rewriting for easy understanding and clarity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yengkhom Bijen Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, India</b>