

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">JournalofScientificResearchandReports</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_127338
TitleoftheManuscript:	TheAralSeacatastrophe- thepricedisturbingthebalance
TypeoftheArticle	ReviewArticle

**PART1: ReviewComments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
<p><b>Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportanceofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydoyoulike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.</b></p>	<p>The review is not robust enough. Lacks robust and in-depth literature citation</p>	
<p><b>Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is not suitable; however, adjustment has been done. I hope the author(s) will follow through</p>	
<p><b>Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is not comprehensive. Some parts need to be deleted as indicated from the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Quite abysmal. The structure and subheads are not appropriate in terms of captions</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>In terms of scientific correctness, the manuscript lacks sufficient citation to back author's assertion. Random comment that has no scientific basis are made regularly in the work. In my own opinion the work is not scientifically robust nor is technically sound</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>As a review work, the need for more references is imperative, but this is not the case in this manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b>REVISIONcomments</p> <p><b>Isthe language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>To some extent, yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>The author(s) would have provided recommendations drawn from multiple literature review undertaken, but this important aspect is not given due attention. The work is generally NOT TOO GOOD</p>	

**ReviewForm3**  
**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Godwin P. Akpan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ilorin, Nigeria</b>