

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126810
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FARMER PROFILES AND THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT OF NATURAL FARMING PRACTICES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its timeliness and relevance are super. With the increasing human population and all of whom need to be fed, coupled with the changing agricultural environment, sustainable agricultural practices need not be overemphasized. Industrial agriculture is proving untenable due to its negative effects on ecology hence the globe is reverting to biological controls in Agriculture. It is imperative, therefore, that the knowledge of natural farming is available to and practised by small scale farmers who form more that 85% of all farmers in the developing countries, and whose production the growing population depends.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Consider : "INFLUENCE OF FARMERS SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS ON THEIR ADOPTION OF NATURAL FARMING PRACTICES IN NILGIRIS DISTRICT, INDIA" to avoid redundancy and provide clarity of thought from the start.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Give a highlight about the methodology used. - Indicate the results yielded by the correlation analysis - Describe the significance of the study 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do not number all the sub-sections. Only do the major ones - Do not fragment the results section into too many sub sections which do not make academic sense - Simply present the results in paragraphs, making the information flow without making the paper look like a "note-taking" exercise. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The area of study and the problem under study are contemporary and are of interest to the world today because they address agricultural land productivity and food production, with the aim of helping solve the social and environmental problems posed humanity by chemical farming. It is a descriptive study but it has employed correlation analysis technique to show the direction and strength of relationships between the independent and dependent variables. It has collected and analysed empirical data using questionnaire administered to a sample size of 120 farmers, which is well representative of the farmers of Nilgiris District.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes! You may consider perusing through: Obuoyo, J.A. and Ochola, C.O. (2015). Effect of Socio-Economic Characteristics of Resource-Poor Farmers on Food Security in Semi-Arid Agro-Ecological Zones of Nyakach, Kenya. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. Vol.5,issue 11, Nov.2015. pp.510-513 ISSN 2250-3153 for some insight.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The language is OK. - If you must use abbreviations e.g. CF then give their meaning to the reader. - Consolidate all the fragmented sub-sections and merge them into paragraphs with flowing results e.g. 1. Merge 3.1.1.1 with 3.1.1.2 and 3.1.1.3 to make only one (1) paragraph under 3.1; - Merge sub-sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 plus 3.3.3 should all be merged under 3.2 - Merge subsections 3.3.3.3, 3.3.3.2 and 3.3.3.3 to make one paragraph explaining Positive, Negative and No influence of the independent variables on the dependent variables. Do not fragment them into those too small sub sections. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good in content but the FORM needs to be reorganized as recommended.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Joyce Atieno Obuoyo</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Maseno Univesrity, Kenya</p>