

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126894
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Efficacy of Novel Insecticides Against <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> in Brinjal Cultivation</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Shoot and fruit borer, <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> Guenee, is a serious pest of eggplant. Management practices of this obnoxious pest are limited to frequent spray of chemical insecticides. Due to increasing levels of resistance of <i>L. orbonalis</i> to different insecticides there is an urgent need to test new chemicals. In this study, seven insecticides belonging to different groups were tested against eggplant shoot and fruit borer in field.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Better to write the scientific name of the pest completely. My suggestion" Efficacy of Novel Insecticides Against <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> Guenee (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)in Brinjal Cultivation"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Abstract is short and only describe the method and crude results.</li> <li>- Need to add the context or background information about the research in one or two sentences at the beginning.</li> </ul>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	It is ok.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introduction should end with a research statement. This statement summarizes the ideas that will run through the entire research article. It should be what the research paper is trying to prove or argue.</li> <li>- Methodology, result and conclusion sections are very short. Tables and presentation of results should be scientific.</li> <li>- The conclusion is not conclusion, only is the repetition of results. In conclusion, author should describe about their findings and compare with other references.</li> </ul>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Not sufficient.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Should be revised.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript need to be revised. There are some comments in attached manuscript.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saeedeh Javar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Golestan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Iran</b>