

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_124844
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Profiling of Raoultella spp. in Pediatric Clinical Samples: Insights from Bauchi, North East Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The difficulties in correctly identifying <i>Raoultella species</i> —which are frequently mistaken for <i>Klebsiella</i> or other species due to phenotypic similarities—are brought to light by this work, which is the first to isolate <i>Raoultella planticola</i> in pediatric clinical samples from Bauchi, North-East Nigeria. Precise identification required the integration of molecular techniques such PCR tests, 16S rRNA sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis. In order to guarantee a precise diagnosis and efficient treatment, especially in urgent situations like neonatal sepsis, this study highlights the necessity for sophisticated diagnostic techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient add some latest references too	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor revisions Language needs grammatical and spelling improvements	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Madeeha Arshad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Education, Pakistan</b>