

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Applied Life Sciences International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JALSI_127088 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Floating and formulated feed on growth and production of GIFT Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) in earthen ponds |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Manuscript need revise, there are different in some information as in abstract authors mentioned that (Treatment 3 (T3) involved a commercially available floating feed with 34.53% crude protein) while in results they mentioned that (The highest survival rate was observed in T3, where fish were fed a diet containing 30% protein) Also , the initial weight in results section were(The initial weight of individual tilapia was 3.25 ± 0.13 g for T1 and 1.12 ± 0.18 g for T2 and T3) while in the Table 1 were 3.25±0.13 in all groups T1, T2 and T3 | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Not suitable I suggest the title (Effect of Floating and Sinking Formulated Feed on growth and production of GIFT Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) in earthen ponds | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Add the percent of protein in the diets (T1, T2, T3) In abstract Are (Growth was measured biweekly) or monthly as in (Feed preparation and feeding). | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | No, Please change Food conversion ratio to Feed conversion ratio in the subtitle Also under the subtitle Estimation of survival rate and production of fishes: authors mentioned that the experiment time was eight month, while in the material and methods (Study location and pond facilities), they mentioned that (The experiment was conducted from July 15, 2021, to December 15, 2021, over a period of five months) | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. = | yes | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Fify Ragheb Anees |
| Department, University & Country | National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt |