

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Applied Life Sciences International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JALSI_127088 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Floating and formulated feed on growth and production of GIFT Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) in earthen ponds |
| Type of the Article | Nutrition |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript will aid farmers reduce cost of feed on farm | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The Abstract is good but needs an adjustment in the average weight of $3.25 \pm 0.03g$ and that in the Experimental design with average weight of $3.25 \pm 0.13g$ | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The scientific correctness is good and robust, but it also needs more detailing. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. = | The references are ok, but some are obsolete | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The English quality is suitable | |
| Optional/General comments | The type/kind of commercial feed used in treatment 3 should be mentioned. Also, the feed formulation table for treatments 1 and 2 as well as the ingredient and the crude protein levels should be added. Another point to note is the exact time the experiment lasted. Are the experimental fish same sex or mix? Because tilapia can breed within short periods. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Faith Ugo Inya |
| Department, University & Country | Cross River University of Technology, Nigeria |