

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127093
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different weed management practices on growth, yield and economics of kharif grain sorghum [<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Weed control is indispensable in modern crop management. Weed management in rainfed sorghum is an important aspect to increase the grain and stover yield. Here different methods were used for management of weeds in <i>kharif</i> sorghum.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is okay as different combinations of weedicides and methods of weed management were used to see the effect on growth attributes, yield and economics of sorghum. Scientifically correct. Also, author can add any weed management indices to support the best treatment. It could be done, if possible.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It needs to be incorporate two to three or more references to support the findings.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The references list should be reformatted according to the journal's guidelines and style. Please consider this comment for all references.• References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa.• I suggest the author to prepare the manuscript according to the journal's author guidelines (https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sivanantha J
Department, University & Country	Vasantham Agricultural Institute, India