

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127093
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different weed management practices on growth, yield and economics of kharif grain sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the most important issue of crop production. It has been formulated and executed well scientifically.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and well written. But the entire manuscript needs sentence restructuring in few areas as the entire sentences seems meaningless. For instance, in abstract the sentence "Field experiment was undertaken during kharif season of 2023 to evaluate the effect of different weed management practices on growth and yield of kharif grain sorghum was carried out at Agricultural Research Station, Hagari, Ballari" seems not clear. Hence, these types of sentences are suggested to be improved.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript still needs the addition of few more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality needs to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	The authors are suggested to improve the overall manuscript by enhancing the language quality, adding recent references and restructuring of meaningless sentences.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karthick Vikram. P
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India