

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_127567
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Synthesis and Characterization of Silver-Copper Bimetallic Nanoparticles Using Alternanthera brasiliiana Stem Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the synthesis of bimetallic nps and the combination they selected of silver and copper is bit different as mostly copper zinc and other combinations have been selected in literature. The author need to write applications of bimetallic over monometallic nps synthesis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is absolutely fine. satisfied	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author need to define abstract in past tense and need to avoid this and these ,then types words . Conclusion written is better to replace with abstract Write what is described in conclusion more refined then abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	okey	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.just avoid this, that then ,these , 2. in running text Sunita et al is to be written as sunit and her co-workers or coassociates, Spacing issue in whole manuscript,although i corrected a lot . Don't put references in between running sentence ,it loose its importance put reference no.in end of sentence. Zone of inhibition have standard guidelines to mesure zones in mm not cm Still go through once	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Reference are sufficient but the style u given has been applied with caps need to go through Your editing team can go through	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.just avoid this, that then ,these , 2. in running text Sunita et al is to be written as sunit and her co-workers or coassociates, Spacing issue in whole manuscript,although i corrected a lot . Don't put references in between running sentence ,it loose its importance put reference no.in end of sentence. Still go through once	
Optional/General comments	The work is fine till synthesis and characterization parts but application part lack justification as bimetallic is giving lesser zone of inhibitions than monometallic,silver nps being anti microbial agents can have higher zones than bimetallic but copper could not .the zones sizes are almost same if you go by standard deviation . Still repeat once to check reproducibility of results. once	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunita Khatak
Department, University & Country	University Institute of Engg.&Technology, Kurukshetra University, India