

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_127606
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND PROGNOSIS OF PAPILLARY THYROID CARCINOMA IN ADOLESCENT PATIENTS</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The main clinical issue analysing the clinical course of PTC adolescent patients is interesting. This question may be important issue regarding prognosis of this group of patients. But to address this issue in a more exact and relevant manner there is a need of including larger group of patients.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Maybe in the Title should be also stated is this National survey or single center study? For example CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND PROGNOSIS OF PAPILLARY THYROID CARCINOMA IN ADOLESCENT PATIENTS-Single center study</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The Abstract needs to be rewritten. The first sentence is confusing and in the Introduction part of the abstract should be addressed the main subject that will be analyzed further and in this case the main subject is papillary thyroid carcinoma and incidence and clinical course of the disease in adolescent patients. The Aim should be more precisely addressed, for example. The aim of the study is to analyze the clinical features of the adolescent PTC patients-National survey?, or data from single center- single center study? What would be the conclusion from that analysis should be discussed in the Discussion or Conclusion part of the Manuscript.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The Manuscript gives very poor analysis, needs improvement in including larger number of patients, also more variables to be included, like histopathological subtypes of Papillary thyroid carcinoma, type of surgical treatment, radioiodine treatment is not mentioned, radioiodine whole body scan missing. The data represented in the Results part are very crudely displayed.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References should be uniformly presented, Vancouver or other style suggested by the Journal.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There is need of English Proofreading and there are technical and grammatical errors, also there are paragraphs with different font size.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The Manuscript Lacks of more detailed presentation of the few cases that are analysed and the writing is not suitable for scholarly communication.</b>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tanja Makazlieva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Pathophysiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Ss Cyril and Methodius, North Macedonia</b>