

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_127361
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Primary Care in Early Detection and Continuity of Care for Cancer Patients: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	Review article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it sheds light on the contribution of primary care in reducing diagnostic delays thereby improving prognosis. Findings of this manuscript could influence healthcare policies, particularly in resource limited developing nations like India and African countries where primary care may be the only accessible healthcare option for many patients.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"Impact of Primary Care on Early Cancer Detection and Continuity of Patient Care in Developed countries".	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a clear and concise overview of the review paper but it should have been structured in subsections.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Manuscript lacks Methodology section. Author should have discussed search strategy, inclusion & exclusion criteria and method of analysis.  Manuscript also lacks comparative analysis of primary care models in different countries.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript appears to be scientifically sound given its focus on a critical aspect of cancer care. It highlights a pivotal role primary care providers play in early cancer detection, patient referral and continuity of care. However scientific rigor would have increased if it was more inclusive ( data from low resource countries lacking) and better cited.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Review article should be more inclusive and exhaustive. Need more references as insufficient references weaken the article's credibility.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Vibhav Nigam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King George's Medical University, India</b>