

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_127220
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling T- Cell Prolymphocytic Leukaemia: A Case study highlighting diagnostic markers and challenges.
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Leukaemia is rich area for research - T-PLL is difficult to differentiate through morphology. - The flowcytometry as gold standard tool in diagnosis and prognosis of haematological malignancy. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think it needs to be more informative. (Explores the diagnosis and challenges of T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia, highlighting the importance of understanding diagnostic markers).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it needs to be a structural abstract (brief background without citation, aim, methodology, result, and conclusion)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	- It is okay, But needs upgrades.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Case reports should be no more than 1000 words long (excluding the abstract and keywords) - Abstract up to 150 words. - The introduction needs to be summarized. - The discussion should follow a structured format and provide a clearer comparison with previous studies. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - References are sufficient (Case reports should contain a maximum of 10 references). - References are not recent (1-5 old references should be replaced with recent references). 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	should be revised, and the grammar checker	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract rewrite.- Upgrades.- grammar checker- reference rechecked	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	May Mohammed Ali Hussen
Department, University & Country	Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan