

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127466
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient release pattern and soil enzyme activities in calcareous and non-calcareous soils as influenced by PROM
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript points out the efficiency of organic source of phosphorus in improving the overall soil nutrient status as compared to other chemical sources. In the recent times, when increasing usage of chemicals in agriculture poses a threat to overall sustainability of the ecosystem, this article pin points an example where organic amendments in soil can be used as an alternative for an holistic increase in productivity. The article talks about the efficiency of PROM (Phosphate Rich Organic Manure) in improving the soil properties, driving us to look out for more such options and testing them out on a large scale to understand and mould their usage into commercial agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript indicates PROM as the only source of external phosphorus used for the experiment which is contrary to the treatment details provided by the author. The present title can be changed to: 'Nutrient Release Pattern and Soil Enzyme Activities in Calcareous and Non-calcareous soils as influenced by external sources of Phosphorus.'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I am satisfied with the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, I didn't find any scientific corrections.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few corrections in the reference section: 1. Panse and Sukhatme- The year mentioned in the article and the reference section doesn't match. 2. The reference section has more references than mentioned in the article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language of the article is found suitable for scientific communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aishwarya S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>