

Review Form 3

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_127735
TitleoftheManuscript:	ASSESSMENTOFDRINKINGWATERQUALITYOFDIFFERENTHOSPITALSINRANCHI,JHARKHAND
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights an essential issue: the quality of drinking water in hospitals. The findings contribute to the understanding of physico-chemical parameters of water in a healthcare setting, emphasizing compliance with BIS and WHO standards. It has practical implications for improving hospital water management and ensuring patient safety. Additionally, it offers valuable data for policymakers to prioritize water quality in public health strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-written and covers the essential aspects of the study. However, it would benefit from emphasizing the practical implications of the findings, particularly how they can reduce risks of waterborne infections in hospital settings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound. The methodology and results are clear, and the findings align with established standards. Nevertheless, the discussion section should further elaborate on the direct implications of the analyzed parameters on patient health and hospital operations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant. However, incorporating newer studies related to hospital water quality, especially from international journals, would strengthen the paper's relevance.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and appropriate for scholarly communication. Minor improvements, such as shortening lengthy sentences and using active voice in the introduction, would enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	<p>This study is well-conducted and presents useful findings. Adding more details about the practical applications of the study and comparing the results with similar studies in other regions would make the discussion richer and more impactful.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript is scientifically sound with meaningful findings. • Requires minor revisions, particularly in discussing the practical implications and improving language clarity. <p>The study provides valuable data for healthcare water management but could benefit from a slightly broader discussion and recent references.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zabihollah Yousefi
Department, University & Country	Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Iran