

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126150
Title of the Manuscript:	Design, Development and Optimization of Polyherbal Powder Shampoo using 32 Factorial Design
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This herbal shampoo is formulated with natural ingredients known for their gentle cleansing and nourishing properties, making it suitable for all hair types. Enriched with botanical extracts, it strengthens hair from the roots, adds shine, and promotes a healthy scalp. The chemical-free blend reduces scalp irritation, supports natural hair growth, and improves overall hair texture with regular use. Ideal for those seeking a balanced, eco-friendly hair care solution. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Grammar needs to be improved.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It offers an in-depth exploration of the design, development methods, and optimization of Polyherbal Powder Shampoo using a 3 ² factorial design. The statistical analysis provides comprehensive insights into the formulation process and outcomes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall language is fine however, grammatical errors are observed which should be updated drastically throughout the manuscript. Yes, suitable for scholarly communications post revision of grammatical portion.	
Optional/General comments	Better way of presentation related to tables form can be done.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maheshvari Patel
Department, University & Country	India