

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126150
Title of the Manuscript:	Design, Development and Optimization of Polyherbal Powder Shampoo using 32 Factorial Design
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current work is based on optimization of design by factorial studies which were majorly applied in industries. Application of natural compounds in formulation was very appreciable. Various parameters was assessed to evaluate the formulation was significant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title was suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Improve data why F6 was concluded as satisfactory formulation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are appropriate for the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Using of completely natural available compounds in the formulation by using DoE approach was unique. Devoid of synthetic compounds which are harmful for humans. Preservation of traditional methods and phytochemicals implied are very conventional and ecofriendly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes they are sufficient but typographical errors are to be corrected like year of journal, edition, superscripts applied etc.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Rectify typographical errors.</li><li>2. In the table heading only four formulations are mentioned but in the table there are nine formulations.</li><li>3. Correct the sentence in "Nature of hair after wash" mention the wool was observed by volunteers.</li></ol>	
Optional/General comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bodepudi Sudhee Chowdary
Department, University & Country	Bapatla College of Pharmacy, India