

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>Minor revision</p> <p>1 Abstract. In Results, Specify name of test.</p> <p>2) Introduction. Write botanical names instead of common names and they should be written in italics.</p> <p>3) Material and Methods. Skin/eye irritation test, method which is missing</p> <p>Results. Dirt dispersion. Citation is missing (journal name/ reference number from which you have copied this line. It is from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5783807/)</p> <p>4) Conclusion. Batch F6 was selected as an optimized batch, Give the reason in brief details</p>	<p>1)The F6 formulation was selected as the best formulation on the basis result observed on Cleaning action and Foaming Index. More over, all the evaluation parameters such as Organoleptic tests, general powder properties, physicochemical evaluation, ash and alcohol soluble extractives, moisture content determination, pH determination, dirt dispersion, wetting time, skin irritation test and studies on the nature of hair after wash were performed and found to be satisfactory.</p> <p>2) All the herbs were written in their botanical names with italics.</p> <p>3) Skin irritation test was performed in-house as all the ingredients used were herbs which earlier known to us. (ancient)</p> <p>Dirt dispersion. Citation as per your instruction included</p> <p>4) Batch F6 was selected as an optimized batch as it showed quick cleaning action and better foaming index as compared to other formulations. Moreover, all other test were performed and found to be satisfactory.</p>