

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_126867
Title of the Manuscript:	Uterine Torsion: A Rare Obstetric Emergency
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Uterine torsion is an extremely rare obstetric scenario with less than 200 cases reported worldwide. These cases illustrate the importance of recognizing risk factors for uterine torsion, particularly in patients with predisposing anatomical abnormalities or placental positioning anomalies. Each case includes a thorough summary of clinical presentation and intraoperative findings with images. This level of detail helps readers understand the complexities of uterine torsion	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a rare but potentially life-threatening condition, uterine torsion, which many clinicians may not encounter in daily practice. By presenting two different cases (one involving a bicornuate uterus and the other placenta previa), it emphasizes the variety of presentations and the importance of recognizing high-risk anatomical factors, making it a valuable resource for both obstetricians and trainees.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiranitha
Department, University & Country	MGR Medical University, India