

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_127574
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence and Information Governance: Strengthening Global Security, through Compliance Frameworks, and Data Security
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because it focuses of AI, security and data. As AI becomes part of our everyday life, there has been no strict law to directly speak to branches when AI is involved, like who is to be held accountable, how can data be secured with AI and more. This manuscript speaks to some of these questions and has a great impact to the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be tweaked a little, the reader should be able to understand what the article contains by mere reding the abstract, give a full summary of what the paper is about. At the moments it's just fine by tweaking it a little would be of great help to the reader.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The author would need to differentiate the subsection clearly, current the subsection or just word with no numbering. The author can make this paper better by using numbers for sub section. E.g. 1.1, 1.2 etc. Also number the reference.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically robust and technically sound. The author has captured a lot of information about AI in the global space. From AI in security to governance and have giving findings both in tabular and graphical representation. The write up is well detailed and covers lots of aspect when it comes to AI and its concerns as well down to the data used for training these AI models. From the article the author has done very deep research on the subject matter.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are sufficient with 90% of it recent. The author has also done a good job in the in-text citations. However, I would want to suggest that the author include some in-text citation on the Result and Findings as their as some fact mentioned without in-text citations to back that up. E.g. Explore AI's Potential to Enhance Global Security which I assume is a sub section of section 4. The statement "The European Union also plays a crucial role with degree centrality of 0.82 and betweenness centrality of 0.65, particularly through its regulatory initiatives like the GDPR and proposed AI Act" this is a fact that would need an in-text for backing.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. The write-up is well articulated, but some proof reading is required.	
Optional/General comments	The author has done very good research, and this is clear from their manuscript, they just need to proofread, add some in-text citation. This is a very good article as it speaks on recent concerns with AI.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Morgan Nwaiku
Department, University & Country	Teesside University, United Kingdom