

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_126589
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF OCCURRENCES OF LOW BACK PAIN AMONG HEALTH CARE WORKERS IN UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is important because it evaluates the occupational challenge of Low Back pain in healthcare workers in a hospital. The project is well conceived and executed. The writing-up is also good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Seems ok.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	A section on discussion should have been created and written to compare these results with similar studies elsewhere. The Discussion should be number 4 after 3. Results and before conclusions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study design is good. Sample selection and Data collection are also done well. Data analysis is detailed. Conclusions are relevant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Seems ok.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As I wrote before, a section on discussion should be inserted to indicate similarities and differences of the results from other studies.  Author should add a paragraph section on Discussion, And should state ethics clearance details for the study. Then it will be good to go.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Venkata Satyanarayana Nanduri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yoga Prana Vidya Ashram, India</b>