

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127587
Title of the Manuscript:	Arnold chiari type III and encephalocele, a rare and serious association: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this case study. However, it has several grammatical error and the manuscript fail to address what it aimed for i.e. The aim of this article is to understand and investigate the association between Arnold-Chiari type III malformation and encephalocele in order to develop more effective therapeutic strategies. It is already a known fact that ACIII has encephalocele with it as a definition (Ref: <a href="https://radiopaedia.org/articles/chiari-iii-malformation?lang=us">https://radiopaedia.org/articles/chiari-iii-malformation?lang=us</a> ) so, investigating the association is not something that is done for the first time here. Also, for treatment strategies, it is known that surgery and shunting is done for the encephalocele and hydrocephalus aspect of this condition. Hence, overall while it is a good observational case study, nothing new is offered. If the author is making the claim that seizure are something new, that is a given with so much pressure in the brain and compressing the tissue. If this is the point of this case then, it is not written clearly to make this point. If the author is concerned with the finding of "seizure with hypotonia and dysmophic syndrome." It is never stated that this is what they are going for to make the focus of this paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Arnold chiari type III and encephalocele, a rare and serious association: a case report. No title is not justified by the writing . perhaps add the finding what is rare and serious – seizure with hypotonia and dysmophic syndrome?</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Write it actively what is the point. – what is the specific aim – what is the rare association</b>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , more or less	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>Poorly written manuscript. Address the concerns above perhaps may make it contributinal</b>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<b>Add more reference of why you would see seizure with hypotonia and dysmophic syndrome, if that is in the literature. .did anyone hypothesized it</b>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Work on it , need proper sentence, English grammar	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gurjit Nagra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Manitoba, Canada</b>