

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_127077
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Natural Extracts During Storage Conditions on Seed Quality and Yield Performance of Soybean Cultivar Giza 22
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is always interesting to experiment with different crops and use different fertilizers. Especially important crops worldwide. Barley is a crop highly used in different sectors. An attempt should be made to maximize the use of fertilizers of organic origin, without contaminating components and with NPK of organic origin such as digestates. It is recommended to continue the research using different types of fertilizers and observe the results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is correct with the text of the article, but it is advised that the title should be short, concise and clear. The most effective have less than 10 words and no should include abbreviations or acronyms.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides an overview of the body of work. The summary has a correct length. It may contain the answer to questions such as: why was the study carried out? What was done and how? What are the results? What do these findings mean and what impact do they have? The option of a structured summary is chosen with the sections: objective, design, place and duration, methodology, results and conclusions. It is fulfilled.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusions. It is advisable, as in the materials and methods subsection, to number the titles for better understanding and easier reading. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It can be considered a well-formed and structured manuscript, although small errors need to be corrected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References comply with what has been established within the last 10 years All references are mentioned in the article and vice versa. Some more could be written, but correct. A separation could be made between each reference to make it easier to read and view.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Typographical errors to correct. Spaces after periods, dashes, separations...-As advice, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.-Establish how your findings support the stated objectives and answer the research questions posed at the beginning of the work.-Consider possible practical applications and how your research may influence future work or decision-making in the area studied.-Finish your conclusion with a memorable closing that reinforces the importance of your research and leaves a lasting impression.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain