

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_127125
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM DOOR HANDLES IN MADONNA UNIVERSITY, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
	1.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this study because it should be used to reduce the microbial contaminant in community. It will not used in University, but it may also apply in the public places like metro stations, bus stands or even in our own home.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO, Please use proper font size and space between the lines. Rules of Manuscript should be followed properly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study should be used to reduce the microbial contaminant in community. Other disinfectants will be used to reduces the growth of microorganisms as in study 3% alcohol were used. May be upto 3% other disinfectant will give better results.	

Review Form 3

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. meanwhile- Meanwhile (Start the line with capital letter) 2. Klebsiella pneumonia- Klebsiella pneumoniae 3. the study- This study 4. (5).- Use proper citation method and start the reference no with (1) not with (5). 5. Samples were collected using the swab-rinse method of the American Public Health Association (13) 6. Striked- its striking method 7. sterile wire loop - Inoculating straight wire 8. staphylococcus species from streptococcus species- Genus should be in Capital letter. 9. Degrecelsius- use symbol for Celsius 10. 24 hours – 18-24 hrs 11. red colourdevelops – Ring formation 12. urease test broth – its slant not broth 13. turns red due – Pinkish to red 14. (0) – 0% 15. Echerichia coli - Escherichia coli 16. 3% acid alcohol – What type of acid-alcohol combination should be used?? 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the study also revealed the various percentage of gram reactions with gram negative having a higher percentage of reactions than the Gram positive. – Mention about GPC and GNB and clear the line about various percentage of Gram reactions. 2. Use proper recommended space i.e 1.5 in the Abstract part and 1.5 on the rest of the article. 3. Every day, door handles are often hotspots for bacteria, public handles especially because of the frequent and inevitable use of most door handles, it can often be expected that bacteria are present (9) – Please re-write this line, make no sense it all 4. The material affects the time bacteria can survive on door handles; but more so, the temperature and humidity of the surrounding air- Please re-phrased it properly. 5. Mention the Study type in Methodology 6. sterile inoculating loop – In catalase test, always use sterile glass cover slip or wooden stick, metal gives false positive results. 7. small amount of the bacterial colony was collected from the agar plate- mentioned the agar plate from which you picked up the colony. 8. Controls are missing in biochemical tests- ATCC controls for positive and negative bacteria. 9. Table no 4- repeating of Klebsiella 3 times and Staphylococcus 2 times? 10. Table no 6- percentage missing 11. REFERENCES- Please use the proper method to mark the references numbers or used proper citation method??? In study, (1), (2) numbers are used but in references theses numbers are missing. Please ensure that mark it properly. 12. Proper font number that is 12 and Times new roman is not used properly. Proper space between lines is missing. 13. Proper Biochemicals test were not done. IMVIC test will be done to confirm the gram negative bacteria. <p>Genus first letter will be in capital letter and microorganism should be in italic form.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rohit Kumar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>MM Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, India</p>