Original Research Article

Morphological and molecular characterization of endophytic bacteria isolated from Bambara groundnut (*Vigna Subterranea* L.*)* nodules in agricultural soils of Daloa localities in Côte d'Ivoire

ABSTRACT

A thorough understanding of the diversity, functions and specific interactions of endophytic bacteria present in legumes is essential to improve the production of this crop. In order to identify of roots nodule endophytes associated to Bambara groundnut by analyzing their morphological diversity and their genetic diversity; we sampled 34 isolates belonging to different culture sites and associated with 5 local varieties of Bambara groundnut.

Morphological analysis using macroscopic observation and microscopic observation revealed that the bacterial isolates were morphogically diverse in terms of colony appearance, shape, colony size, color, opacity and Gram stain result. These bacteria presented characters different from those of the symbiotic bacteria. In addition, molecular identification based on sequencing the 16S-rRNA gene and 1081 bp analysis showed the existence of non-symbiotic bacteria in Bambara groudnut nodule. The community of roots nodule endophyte isolated from Bambara groundnut belonged to the genera *Pseudomonas, Baccillus, Bacterium, microbacterium, Rahnella, Paenibacillus, Lysobacter* with 98 to 99,5 % similarity, included in the *Firmicutes, Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* phyla. .In Groundnut Bambara , the predominant nodule endophytes were *Baccillus* (56 %) and *pseudomonas* (17 %).

It would be intriguing to carry out additional research in the future to examine the ecological implications of these bacterial interactions as well as possible uses in biotechnology and agronomy, especially with regard to biofertilization and host plant growth promotion.

Keywords: Endophyte Genetic diversity morphological diversity *Bambara groundnut*

1. INTRODUCTION

Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L.) is an indigenous African food legume very tolerant to drought, salinity and infertile soil with enormous potential in nutrition and soil fertilization (Taffouo et *al.*, 2010). Few researches carried out have reported that *Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc is nodulated by *Bradyrhizobium* (Ibny *al.*, 2019) and *Rhizobium pusense* (Gnangui et *al.,* 2019). Besides nodulating bacteria, legumes nodules shelter other non-nodulating bacteria called endophytes (Peix et *al.,* 2015). The high specificity of the legume–Rhizobium interaction and the selective nodule environment, non-rhizobial nodule rhizobacteria have been reported. Roots nodules which traditionally were considered as the exclusive niche of rhizobia are being revisited to examine colonization by several free-loaders unrelated to symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Evidence that the healthy nodule can contain endophytes not

necessarily related to symbiotic or diazotrophic context has been documented, *Bacillus* (soybean, Bai et *al.,* 2002), *Klebsiella* (groundnut, clover, bean, Ozawa et *al.,* 2003) and *Pseudomonas* (acacia, Kuklinsky-Sobral et *al.,* 2004; soybean, Hoque et *al.,* 2011). Sturz et *al.*(1997) simultaneously recovered 4.3*10 ^{9} CFU rhizobia and 3*10^{5} CFU non-rhizobial endophytes of 12 bacterial genera per gram fresh weight of red clover nodule tissue. Evidence that healthy nodule interiors of wild legumes can contain bacteria not related to rhizobia has also been reported (Zakhia et *al.,* 2006; Deng et *al.,* 2011). Endophytic bacteria, which reside within the nodules of legume plants, play a vital role in improving plant nutrition, thus giving them a distinct advantage in terms of growth and development (Gasser,2022). In addition, the presence of these endophytic bacteria promotes resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses, thus providing valuable protection to host plants. (Maamri, 2023). It is essential to have a thorough understanding of the diversity, functions, and specific interactions of endophytic bacteria present in legumes particularly, Bambara groundnut in order to improve production of this crop. Furthermore, such knowledge would allow the development of innovative biotechnology strategies with the aim of promoting sustainable and environmentally friendly agriculture. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify the endophytic bacteria of the Bambara groundnut nodule by analyzing their morphological diversty and genetic diversity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The bacteria were isolated *in vitro* at the Jean Lorougnon Guédé University in Daloa, Côte d'Ivoire. These isolates were tested for their genetic diversity in a laboratory at Mohammed V's Faculty of Science in Rabat, Morocco.

2.1. Sampling site

Five sites were investigated for soil sampling in the localities of Daloa in Côte d'Ivoire, including Bribouo, Toroguhé, Jean Lorougnon Guedé University, Zakoua, and Zépréguhé (Guei et *al*., 2020).

2.2. Isolation of bacterial strain from nodules

The bacteria were trapped in different samples of the collected soil by five varieties of Bambara groundnut. seeds. Plants from the germination of different sterile seeds in plastic pots with soil and were regularly watered were sacrificed to use their nodules.

Roots nodules were surface sterilized by washing for 3 min with 70% ethanol, immersed in 30% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min and finally were washed six times by sterile water. 1 mL of each ground nodule was spread on the solid YEM medium (Vincent, 1970) supplemented with 0.025 g/L of Red Congo. The cultures were incubated at 28°C for 3-6 days (Somasegaran and Hoben, 1994**)**. After incubation, the colonies obtained were purified by streaking technique according to the Jordan method and stored in 20% (v /v) of glycerol at -80°C (Guei et *al*., 2020).

2.3. Morphological characterization of bacterial isolates

The purified colonies were subjected to macroscopic observation according to the Jordan (1984) method which consisted of analyzing the s shape, size, chromogen, opacity, elevation, surface and consistency of the colonies. Also, these bacteria were examined microscopically to determine their Gram type, their shape and their grouping mode according to the method used by Filloux (2003).

2.4. Molecular characterization of bacterial isolates

2.4.1. Bacterial DNA isolation

The complete DNA of bacterial isolates was extracted using phenol-chloroform and RNase treatment from pure cultures during the phase of exponential growth in YEM medium. The isolation of pure DNA has carried out according to the Chen and Tsong-teh (1993) method in a volume of 300 µL of bacterial lysis buffer: (40 mM Tris acetate (pH 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 20 mM sodium acetate and RNase at 20 mg/ml) and 100 µL of 5M NaCl. The pellet resulting from centrifugation was washed with 100% and 70% ethanol after purification with the Phenol-Chloroform mixture (v/v). Then, it was suspended in 55 µL of TE (pH 7.8, 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA) and kept at -20°C. The NanodropTM Spectophotometer measured the quantity and quality of DNA using 260 nm for DNA and 280nm for protein. The PCR reactions were done with 20 ng of DNA from each bacterial isolate (Guei et *al*., 2020).

2.4.2. PCR amplification of the 16S-rRNA gene and sequencing

To amplify the 16S rRNA gene, a universal primer pair, fD1 (5´-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3´) and rD1 (5´AAGGAGGTG ATC CAG CC-3´) (Weisburg et *al*., 1991) was used. PCR amplification reactions were performed according to the method described by Guei et *al*. (2020). Partial 16S rDNA sequencing was performed from the amplification products. These amplifies were purified with the Qiagen PCR Product Purification Kit and then sequenced using the same primers as for PCR. A 3130xl automated sequencer was used to analyze the products at the National Center for Scientific and Technical Research (CNRST) in Rabat, Morocco.

2.4.3. Sequences alignment and phylogenetic analyses

To conduct phylogenetic analyses on bacteria isolated from Bambara groundnut nodules based on the sequences obtained, the verification of the quality of the sequences, alignment of the sequences, and construction of the phylogenetic tree were executed according to the methodology employed by Guei et *al* (2020).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Morphological characters of bacteria isolated from Bambara groundnut nodule

Sixty (60) microorganisms were isolated from Bambara groundnut root nodules grown on five distinct soil types. There were no bacteria observed on the YEM medium inoculated with the water used for the final rinse of the nodule. The bacterial isolates were phenotypically diverse in terms of colony appearance, shape, size (diameter), color and opacity (Figure 1). In the following, 34 bacteria presenting characters different from those of the symbiotic bacteria will be considered.

Macroscopic observation of colonies on Petri dishes revealed that these isolates are predominantly spherical or round in shape. They are translucent, transparent or opaque with a regular, filamentous or crenate outline and of variable color. Colonies exhibit a generally smooth appearance, with a predominant flat or domed elevation and a diameter of less than or equal to 4 mm (Tableau 1).

Microscopic analysis reveals that the majority of the bacteria in our collection are Gram-positive, with a small number of negative-positive bacteria, bacilli, and cocci with a variety of grouping modes (Figure 2) (Tableau 1).



Figure 1: morphological characteristics of bacteria isolates



Figure 2: Microscopic observation at 100X magnification after Gram staining

A: Gram-positive bacteria, bacilli; B: Gram-positive bacteria, cocci

Table 1 : Morphological characters of bacteria isolated from *Vigna subterranea*

	Morphological characteristics of colonies							Morphological characteristics of isolates		
Isolates	**Shape**	**Chromogen**	**Surrounding**	**Elevation**	**Size (mm)**	**Opacity**	**Apperance**	**Gram**	**Shape**	**Gouping**
RFK1	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Cambered	2 to 3	Transparent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK2	Spherical	Orange	Régular	Flat	1 to 4	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK3	Spherical	Orange	serrated	Flat	≤1	Translucent	Rough	+	bacilli	chain
RFK4	Round	Pink	Régular	Plat	<2	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK5	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Cambered	≤4	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	diplo
RFK6	Cirular	Cream	Régular	Cambered	3 to 4	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	Tétrad
RFK7	Cirular	Cream	Régular	Convex	1 to 4	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK8	Point	Cream	Régular	Raised	≤1	Translucent	Rough	+	bacilli	Heaps
RFK9	Cirular	Orange	Régular	Cambered	3 à 4	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK10	Cirular	Orange	Régular	Flat	<3	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	Heaps
RFK11	Cirular	Orange	Régular	Raised	1 to 5	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK12	Point	Orange	serrated	Flat	<2	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	chain
RFK13	Spherical	Orange	Régular	Flat	2 to 4	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	Heaps
RFK14	Round	Cream	Régular	Flat	< 2	Transparent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK15	Cirular	Cream	Régular	Raised	1 to 4	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	Heaps
RFK16	Round	Pink	Régular	Flat	≤2	Opaque	Smooth	+	cocci	secluded
RFK17	Round	Pinkish	Régular	Flat	<1	Transparent	Smooth	+	bacilli	secluded
RFK18	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Raised	1 to 2	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	Heaps
RFK19	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Flat	2 to 3	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	diplo
RFK20	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Raised	1 to 2	Translucent	Smooth	-	bacilli	Heaps
RFK21	Spherical	Pink	Régular	Flat	1 to 2	Opaque	Smooth	-	bacilli	secluded
RFK22	Cirular	Pinkish	Régular	Raised	2 to	Opaque	Smooth			secluded

RFK23	Round	Pink	Régular	Flat	≤ 2	Translucent	Smooth	-	bacilli	secluded
RFK24	Round	Cream	Régular	Flat	≤ 2	Opaque	Smooth	-	bacilli	Heaps
RFK25	Sphérique	Orange	Régular	Convex	1 to 3	Translucent	Smooth	-	bacilli	diplo
RFK26	Cirular	Orange	Régular	Raised	1 to 3	Opaque	Smooth	-	bacilli	secluded
RFK27	Spherical	Orange	Régular	Flat	2 to 4	Opaque	Smooth	-	bacilli	Heaps
RFK28	Round	Orange	Régular	Flat	≤2	Translucent	Smooth	-	bacilli	chain
RFK29	Round	Yellow	Régular	Flat	≤ 2	Translucent	Smooth	-	bacilli	diplo
RFK30	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Flat	2 to 3	Transparent	Smooth	-	bacilli	diplo
RFK31	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Flat	2 to 3	Transparent	Smooth	+	bacilli	diplo
RFK32	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Flat	2 to 4	Opaque	Smooth	+	bacilli	diplo
RFK33	Spherical	Cream	Régular	Flat	<4	Transparent	Smooth	-	bacilli	diplo
RFK34	Cirular	Pinkish	Régular	Flat	2 to 4	Translucent	Smooth	+	bacilli	Chain

3.2. Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S-rRNA gene

The genomic region of 16S rRNA of these 34 bacteria was successfully PCR amplified using the fD1 and rD1 primers. The sequencing of these 16S rRNA gene fragments (ca. 1500 bp) and the computer analysis of their data revealed that these isolates are root nodule endophytes (non-symbiotic bacteria).

The partial sequences of the 16S rRNA (1058–1116 bp) as presented in Table 1, were obtained and deposited in the GenBank® database under the accession numbers MT661489 to MT661522. According to the 16S rRNA genetic similarity, bacterial isolates were closely related to seven (07) genera (*Pseudomonas, Baccillus, Microbacterium, Rahnella, Paenibacillus, Lysobacter, Curtobacterium)* distributed in three (03) phyla (*Firmicutes, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria)*. .In Groundnut Bambara , the predominant nodule endophytes were *Baccillus* (56 %) and *pseudomonas* (17 %) (Table 1) .

Table 2 : Séquences analysis of 16S rRNA from nodule endophytes

Strains	Sequences(Pb)	Accession N°	Homology To The Reference Strains		Similary(%)
RFK1	1082	MT661489	Bacillus megaterium strain FBMAX18	MK791705	98,04
RFK2	1086	MT661490	Bacillus sp. L105	DQ248043	99,42
RFK3	1116	MT661491	Bacillus sp. L105	DQ248043	98,89
RFK4	1107	MT661492	Bacillus safensis strain BXC22	MN227495	98,09
RFK5	1080	MT661493	Bacillus nealsonii strain ASB-160	MK514991	98,18
RFK6	1083	MT661494	Bacillus megaterium strain YN7 16S	MK961265	98,77
RFK7	1094	MT661495	Bacillus sp. BAB-3563	KJ938561	98,86
RFK8	1080	MT661496	Bacillus subtilis strain THt3-1	HQ333014	98,38
RFK9	1086	MT661497	Bacillus cereus strain THt1-8	HQ333012	98,6
RFK10	1103	MT661498	Bacillus subtilis strain V90	HQ268534	98,6
RFK11	1089	MT661499	Bacillus pocheonensis strain P12	JN700154	98,86
RFK12	1084	MT661500	Bacillus cereus strain BCRh6	KT153602	98,38
RFK13	1089	MT661501	Bacillus cereus strain BAB-6399	MF351779	98,27
RFK14	1089	MT661502	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain GC17	KC955127	99,17
RFK15	1085	MT661503	Bacillus tequilensis strain RBB6	MN032396	99,55
RFK16	1092	MT661504	Bacillus megaterium strain VITNJ1	MH100903	98,63
RFK17	1081	MT661505	Bacillus megaterium strain E2-04	MN525604	98,61
RFK18	1078	MT661506	Bacillus megaterium strain DS8	EU835733	98,87
RFK19	1086	MT661507	Bacillus subtilis strain LWIS15	KT945024	98,8
RFK20	1099	MT661508	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain AN3	MN560000	98,75
RFK21	1093	MT661509	Pseudomonas sp. strain Fas20	MH235977	98,98
RFK22	1100	MT661510	Pseudomonas sp strain 7	MK322941	98,85
RFK23	1113	MT661511	Pseudomonas azotoformans strain R2SsM3P2C7	KF147042	98,86
RFK24	1099	MT661512	Pseudomonas sp. strain Fas20	MH235977	98,9
RFK25	1082	MT661513	Pseudomonas koreensis strain PS3TA2	KY910138	98,33
RFK26	1104	MT661514	Pseudomonas fluorescens strain FC6846	MH497588	98,73
RFK27	1100	MT661515	Pseudomonas poae strain 15-A1	MN307356	98,87
RFK28	1083	MT661516	Lysobacter sp. KNUC361	EU239150	99,5
RFK29	1070	MT661517	Lysobacter sp. KNUC361	EU239150	98,77
RFK30	1115	MT661518	Rahnella inusitata strain FOD 9/21	KF308406	98,61
RFK31	1089	MT661519	Microbacterium sp. HBUM179633	KR906409	98,34
RFK32	1101	MT661520	Microbacterium sp. strain JL3592	KX989137	98,61
RFK33	1058	MT661521	Paenibacillus lautus strain E118	JF683659	98,93
RFK34	1081	MT661522	Curtobacterium pusillum	LN681569	99,25

The phylogenetic tree representing different species of endophyte reference strains including local isolates was built by the neighborhood (NJ) (Figure 3). The representative isolates clustered together with the corresponding most related type strains These local isolates were distinctly divided into seven clusters.

Cluster I, which contains the bacilli, is comprised of four distinct subgroups.

Subgroup A demonstrated that strains RFK 9, RFK12, and RFK13 are related to nine species of the genus *Bacillus.*

Subgroup B revealed that strain RFK2 is very close to the species B. acidiceler N15121.

Subgroup C contained four references strains of Bacillus species and showed 100% similarity between strain RFK11 and species B. ginsengisoli, between strains RFK15, RFK6, RFK16, RFK18, RFK7 and species B. aryabhattai and then between strains RFK 17, RFK1 and species B. megaterium FBMAX18.

Subgroup D demonstrated a strong genetic link between strain RFK3 and the species B. toyonensis, strain RFK4 and the species B. pumilus, and then strains RFK10, RFK19, RFK14, RFK20, RFK8, RFK15 and six species of the genus Bacillus.

Cluster (II) revealed that strain RFK33 is remarkably similar to the species Paenibacillus lautus E7593-69.

Cluster (III) includes strains RFK31 and RFKC32, which have a similar resemblance to the species Microbacterium paraoxydans QT383.

Cluster (IV) includes strain RFK34 which is genetically related to the species Curtobacterium sp. SNH_M18.

Cluster (V) found that strains RFK28 and RFK29 are very close to the species Lysobacter soli DCY21T.

Cluster (VI) includes strain RFK30, which bears a resemblance to the species Rahnella inusitata DSM 30078 T.

Finally, the seventh (VII) cluster revealed a very close genetic link between strain RFK27 and the species Pseudomonas poae 15-A1, and between strain RFK22 and Pseudomonas sp. Between strain RFK25 and the species Pseudomonas koreensis, strains (RFK 23 anadRFK24) and the species Pseudomonas azotoformans, RP-S-1 between strain RFK21 and the species Pseudomonas fluorescens, between strain RFK26 and the species Pseudomonas libanensis 4G787.

Cluster I (*Baccillus*) and Cluster II (*Paenibacillus*) included in the *Firmicutes* phylum, Cluster III (*Microbacterium*) and Cluster IV(*Curtobacterim*) included in the *Actinobacteria* phylum and Cluster V (*Lysobacter*), Cluster VI (*Rahnella*) and Cluster VII (*pseudomonas*) included in the *Proteobacteria* phylum.



Figure 3: Phylogenetic tree based upon the 16S rDNA sequences obtained showing the phylogenetic positions of endophytes isolated from Bambara

4- DISCUSSION

A total of 60 nodules bacteria isolates were obtained from the total of five soil samples from five Daloa localities in Côte d'Ivoire. Here, all of the sampled nodules were healthy as apparent from their pink color and hard texture All of the nodules that were sampled were healthy, as evidenced by their pink hue and firm texture. Therefore, the endophytic bacteria were not exploiting the decay of nodules. The absence of bacteria in the water used in the final rinse of the nodule surface was evidence that their soil contaminants were not present (Guei et *al*., 2020).

The 34 bacteria in our collection exhibit morphological and molecular diversity, and their distinguishing characteristics set them apart from symbiotic bacteria. This fact indicates that the Bambara groundnut. nodules harbor endophytic bacteria, which are non-symbiotic. this observation could be accounted for by the endophytes' presence in the soils where the plants were grown. Indeed, the environment is the primary source of endophyte acquisition (Hardoim et *al*., 2012). This result was observed for soybean (Li et *al*, 2008) and *Vigna* species (Pandya et *al*, 2013; Chidebe et *al*.,2018). Also, Ibny et *al.* (2019). reported that Out of the 201 isolates from nodule Bambara groundnut subjected to nodulation assay, 106 isolates (53 % of isolates) failed to nodulate the host plant. The community of root nodule endophyte isolated from Bambara groundnut belonged to the genera *Pseudomonas, Baccillus,Curtobacterium, Microbacterium, , Rahnella, Paenibacillus* and *Lysobacter*. It confirms the results reported by several authors which said that different genera of endophyte were associated soybean (Kuklinsky-Sobral et *al.*, 2004), *vigna subterranea* (Ibny et *al.*,2019), *vigna radiata* (Pandya and *al*, 2013) and *Vigna unguiculata* (Chidebe et *al*.,2018). Previous studies have revealed a high diversity of endophytic bacteria present in legume nodules, such as pea, alfalfa, and soybean. (Daoudi et *al*., 2022). The predominant nodule endophytes in Bambara groundnut were *baccillus*, followed by *pseudomonas.* which confirms the results reported by Ferchichi et *al.* (2019) showed that *Pseudomonas* and *bacillus* genera were dominant in the nodule of *L. luteus* and *L. angustifolius*. Compan and *al* reported that the *Bacillus* group with strong environmental adaptability is a typical colonizer of various crops (Compant and *al*., 2010) and the predominance of *Pseudomonas* in the nodule suggest rhizosphere population-based selection, as it is profusely present in rhizosphere and establishes easily in a wide variety of niches (Spiers, et *al*., 2000). Similarly, Brígido et *al.* (2019) demonstrated that *Enterobacter* and *Pseudomonas* are the dominant genera in chickpea plants, exhibiting noteworthy capacities for the production of ammonia and indole acetic acid, which are crucial traits for promoting plant growth. It was also noted that endophyte management has the potential to enhance legume production, thereby highlighting the significance of a comprehensive approach in crop management (McElveen, 2019).

The diversity of endophytic bacteria and their distribution in different environments could open the way to applications in agriculture and biotechnology for crop improvement and sustainable management of agrosystems.

5-Conclusion

It is noted that the nodules of the Bambara groundnut contain non-symbiotic bacteria called endophytes. These bacteria presented morphological and a genetic diversity, as evidenced by their affiliation with seven (07) genera and three (03) phila. It must also be remembered that the predominance of genera such as *Bacillus* and *Speudomonas* in Bambara groundnut nodule.

It would be intriguing to carry out additional research in the future to examine the ecological implications of these bacterial interactions as well as possible uses in biotechnology and agronomy, especially with regard to biofertilization and host plant growth promotion.

REFERENCES

[1] Taffouo, V.D., Wamba, O.F., & Akoa, N.G. (2010). Growth, Yield, Water Status and Ionic Distribution Response of three Bambara Groundnut (Vigna subterranea (L.) Verdc.) Landraces Grown under Saline Conditions. International Journal of Botany, 6(1): 53-. DOI : 10.3923/ijb.2010.53.58

[2] Ibny, F.Y. I., Jaiswal, K.S., Mohammed, M., & Dakora, F.D. (2019). Symbiotic effectiveness and ecologically adaptive traits of native rhizobial symbionts of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea L*. Verdc.) in Africa and their relationship with phylogeny, Scientific Reports, 9:12666. | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48944.

[3] Gnangui, E.L.S., Kouadjo , Z.G.C., & Zeze, A. (2019). First report of Rhizobium pusense within Voandzou (Vigna subterranea (L.) Verdc.) rhizosphere in Côte d'Ivoire, Microbiology and Nature. 1 : 55-65 | https://doi.org/10.26167/CZTB-1P42.

[4] Peix ,A., Ramírez-Bahena , M.H., Velázquez E., & Bedmar E.J. (2015). Bacterial associations with legumes. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci 34:17–42. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.897899

[5] Bai, Y., D'Aoust, F., Smith, D.L., & Driscoll, B.T. (2002). Isolation of plant-growth-promoting Bacillus strains from soybean root nodules. Can J Microbiol 48: 230–238. https://doi.org/10.1139/w02-014

[6] Ozawa, T., Ohwaki, A., & Okumura, K. (2003). Isolation and characterization of diazotrophic bacteria from the surface-sterilized roots of some legumes. Sci Rep Grad Sch Agric & Biol Sci 55: 29 –36.

[7] Kuklinsky-Sobral, A.J., Mendes, W.L., Geraldi, R., Pizzirani-kleiner, I.O., &. Azevedo, A.J.L. (2004). Isolation and characterization of soybean-associated bacteria and their potential for plant growth promotion. Environnement Microbiology 6, 1244-1251. DOI : 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00658.x

[8] Hoque, M.S., Broadhurst, L. M., & Thrall, P.H. (2011). Genetic characterization of root-nodule bacteria associated with Acacia salicina and A. stenophylla (Mimosaceae) across south-eastern Australia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61: 299–309. DOI : 10.1099/ijs.0.021014-0

[9] Sturz, A.V., Christie, B.R., Matheson, B., & GNowak J. (1997). Biodiversity of endophytic bacteria which colonize red clover nodules, roots, stems and foliage and their influence on host growth. Biol Fertil Soils 25: 13.19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050273

[10] Zakhia, F., Jeder, H., Willems, A., Gillis M., Dreyfus, B., & Lajudie, P. (2006). Diverse bacteria associated with root nodules of spontaneous legumes in Tunisia and first report for nifH-like gene within the genera Microbacterium and Starkeya. Microb Ecol 51: 375–393. DOI : 10.1007/s00248-006-9025-0

[11] Deng, Z:S., Zhao , L.F., Xu, L., and *al*. (2011). Paracoccus sphaerophysae spp. nov. a siderophore-producing, endophytic bacterium isolated from root nodules of Sphaerophysa salsula. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61: 665–669. DOI : 10.1099/ijs.0.021071-0

[12] Gasser, M. (2022). Rôle de peptides antimicrobiens de la famille des «non-specific lipid transfer proteins» dans la symbiose actinorhizienne. hal.science

[13] MAAMRI Insaf, T. L. (2023). Isolement et caractérisation des bactéries endophytes et associées à la rhizosphere d'ElBatom: Pistacia atlantica dans la région de Tébessa. univ-tebessa.dz

[14] Guei, N.K.R, Konate I., Taha K., Bamba I., Beugre G. A. M., Akaffou, D. S., & Filali-Maltouf A. (2020). Molecular and Symbiotic Efficiency Characterization of Rhizobia Nodulating Bambara Groundnut (Vigna subterranea L.) from Agricultural Soils of Daloa Localities in Côte D'ivoire. International.Journal of .Current .Microbiology and .Appllied .Sciences. 9(07): 507-519. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.907.056

[15] Vincent, J.M. (1970) A Manual for the Practical Study of RootNodule Bacteria. I.B.P. Handbook no.Oxford: Blackwell. **https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.19720120524**

[16] Somasegaran, P., & Hoben, H. (1994**).** Handbook for Rhizobia Methods in Legume-Rhizobium. *Springer,* 7-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-8375-8_1

[17] Jordan D. C. (1984). Family III. Rhizobiaceae. *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology Springer*. (Vol. 1.) http://www.springer.com/la/book/9780387987712.

[18] Filloux, A., & Vallet, I. (2003). Biofilm: Mise en place et organisation d'une communauté bactérienne [Biofilm: positioning and organization of bacterial communities]. *Medical Science*, *19*, 77-83.

[19] Chen, W., & Tsong-the, K. (1993). A Simple and Rapid Method for the Preparation of Gram-Negative Bacterial Genomic DNA, *Nucleic Acids Research* 21 (9): 2260-2260. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.9.2260.

[20] Weisburg,W.G., Barns, S.M., Pelletier, D.A., & Lane D J. (1991) .16S Ribosomal DNA Amplification for Phylogenetic Study, J.Bacteriol .173. 697-703 DOI : 10.1128/jb.173.2.697-703.1991

[21] Li, J.H., Wang, T., Chen, W.F., & Chen, W.X. (2008). Genetic diversity and potential for promotion of plant growth detected in nodule endophytic bacteria of soybean grown in Heilongjiang province of China. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 238–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.08.014

[22] Hardoim, P. R., Hardoim, C. C., van Overbeek, L. S., & van Elsas, J. D. (2012). Dynamics of seed-borne rice endophytes on early plant growth stages. *PloS one*, *7*(2), e30438. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030438

[23] Pandya, M., Naresh, K.G., & Rajkumar, S. (2013). Invasion of rhizobial infection thread by non-rhizobia for colonization of Vigna radiata root nodules. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 348, 58–65. DOI : 10.1111/1574-6968.12245

[24] Chidebe, I.N., Jaiswal, S.K., & Dakora, F.D. (2018). Distribution and phylogeny of microsymbionts associated with cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) nodulation in three agroecological regions of Mozambique. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84, e01712–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01712-17

[25] Daoudi, I., Belhadj Kouider, S., & Bouchiba, Z. (2022). Etude de l'effet des hydrocarbures sur la croissance des bactéries nodulaire de Scorpiurus muricatus isolées de la raffinerie d'Arzew. univ-km.dz

[26] Ferchichi, N., Toukabri W., Boularess M.·, Smaoui A.·, Mhamdi R., & Trabelsi D., (2019). Isolation, identification and plant growth promotion ability of endophytic bacteria associated with lupine root nodule grown in Tunisian soil. Arch Microbiol DOI : 10.1007/s00203-019-01702-3

[27] Compant, S., Clément, C., & Sessitsch A. (2010). Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 669–678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.024

[28] Spiers, A.J., Buckling, A., & Rainey, P.B. (2000). The causes of Pseudomonas diversity. Microbiology 146: 2345–2350. DOI : 10.1099/00221287-146-10-2345

[29] Brígido, C., Menéndez, E., Paço, A., R. Glick, B., Belo, A., R. Félix, M., et *al*. (2019). Mediterranean Native Leguminous Plants: A Reservoir of Endophytic Bacteria with Potential to Enhance Chickpea Growth under Stress Conditions. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[30] McElveen D., S. (2019). Characterizing Nodule Endophyte Communities in Glycine Max and Lablab Purpureus Using Next-Generation Sequencing. [PDF]