

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_127347
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe malaria associated with kidney failure
Type of the Article	Case reports

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>This study about Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a critical complication of severe malaria, primarily caused by acute tubular necrosis (ATN) related to Plasmodium falciparum infection. Despite intensive treatment like hemodialysis and artesunate, the prognosis remains poor, with high mortality rates observed in severe cases. The article is well organized and accepted. In addition to that, the author needs confirmation about the query which is mentioned below.</p> <p>1. Author need to discuss the pathophysiological mechanisms linking Plasmodium falciparum malaria to acute tubular necrosis, and how can early diagnosis improve outcomes in AKI cases?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satya Dinesh Madasu
Department, University & Country	Bapatla Engineering College, India