

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_127347
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe malaria associated with kidney failure
Type of the Article	Case reports

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights the critical link between severe malaria and kidney failure, emphasizing the pathophysiological mechanisms, clinical manifestations, and management strategies. Its insights are crucial for the scientific community to enhance early diagnosis, optimize therapeutic interventions, and improve outcomes in affected patients, especially in endemic regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Renal Complications in Severe Malaria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some modifications required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, accurately highlighting the rare yet severe complication of acute kidney injury (AKI) in malaria caused by P. falciparum. It effectively discusses the clinical presentation, diagnostic criteria, and management challenges, emphasizing the critical need for early detection and intervention to improve prognosis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Justification not there Language is poor	
Optional/General comments	Revision required	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kanamala Arun Chand Roby
Department, University & Country	Vignan Pharmacy, College, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India