

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_127523
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of Glycemic and Glycation Markers in Type I, Type II, and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Choba, Rivers State
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Glycemic and Glycation markers are important in diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of diabetes mellitus. Comparison between type I and type II diabetes is fine. Gestational diabetes is a different entity were in there are other factors which affect the blood glucose and HbA1c levels. Other hormones which cause hyperglycemia and hemoglobin levels respectively. Besides duration of diabetes might differ in each group of patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	One or 2 sentences regarding inclusion and exclusion criteria can be added.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In methodology, inclusion and exclusion criteria needs to be elaborated. What about other co existing endocrinological disorders? How was it ruled out? What criteria(guidelines) was used to diagnose GDM? Estimation of glycated hemoglobin is widely measured by immunoturbidimetry and HPLC. HPLC enables one to identify hemoglobin variants that might affect the HbA1c levels. In table 2 reference range for parameters need to be mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reeta R
Department, University & Country	MGMCRI, SBV, India