

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_125913 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Constraints faced by the Cashew processing unit owners and the labourers in the Kollam district of Kerala |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, it is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Marked with track change Need to incorporate one line introduction | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, its ok | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It follows sampling techniques in data collection and also analyses Garrett's ranking It is useful for policymakers and stakeholders aiming to implement effective solutions cashew industry in the region. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, it is recent and sufficient | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Grammarly check needed | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | Its good work in the abstract and conclusion need to incorporate ranking of constraints | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Name: | Anil Shriram Gomase |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. PDKV, India |