

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_127607
Title of the Manuscript:	DERMATOPHYTES FROM ANIMALS IN THE MALWA REGION OF PUNJAB: A PRELIMINARY STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript entitled (dermatophytes from animals in the Malwa region of Punjab: a preliminary study) is focused on animal infection with dermatophytes (dermatophytoses) in Malwa. Although it is a preliminary study, the basic grounds for such a study are missing. A manuscript with such scientific defects is not acceptable to be liberated to other readers. The main comments are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: in the line 3, the author said that (It is caused by dermatophytes, a zoophilic fungi group). This is part of true when dermatophytes can be zoophilic, Geophilic and anthropophilic. 2. Introduction: there are no information from other studies about infected animals with dermatophytes (dermatophytoses), which is the main objective of this study. All we got was information about the transmission of infection between humans and animals. 3. Methods: Too many defects in information can be seen in the methods, such as: What are the animal types? How many animals? How can be sure the isolates were dermatophytes (not just morphology)? What time of sample collection?----- Also, there is no need to mention the details of the KOH method. 4. Results: It prefers to make a table containing all information about animals, and type. Age, site of infection, etc. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ali Abdul Hussein S. AL-Janabi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>College of Medicine, University of Karbala, Iraq</p>