

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127461
Title of the Manuscript:	The Power of Millets-Transforming Bakery Products with a Revolutionary Approach to Nutrition: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has highlighted the most commonly consumed bakery products prepared from millets which has prime importance in the present time. As the researchers' interest are getting shifted from developing only tasteful food products towards its nutritional composition and health benefits for combating life style related diseases and micronutrient deficiency disorders, the manuscript is useful for the scientific community. It will help the researchers to relate other millet-based researches to modify and enrich their own knowledge and research area. Hence, I would like the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. In key words, it would be better to mention the term "bakery products" only instead of cookies, bread, cakes, muffins etc. separately. If a single term can indicate all these items, there is no need of mentioning all individual products. Keywords should be 5 or 6 in maximum.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review article can be a comprehensive reflection of most of the millet based researches that had been completed previously. It implies the lacking side of the researches that can be further addressed by other researchers in future. Novel food products can be developed other than the mentioned ones in modified ways on the verge of nutritional composition and health benefits of different types of millets and millet based bakery items. Hence, this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this manuscript can be accepted and published after the correction of the recommended minor suggestions as it is useful as well as informative for future references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soumya Sucharita Sahoo
Department, University & Country	Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India