

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_125785
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on bioconversion of food wastes and by-products to value added products
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>The author could strengthen the manuscript's relevance by clearly highlighting the practical applications of food waste bioconversion, such as its potential to reduce hunger and malnutrition, particularly in developing countries. Emphasizing the environmental and economic benefits will also demonstrate the value of this research to policymakers and industry stakeholders. Consider including statements like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This manuscript presents crucial insights into sustainable solutions for food waste management, with direct implications for global food security and environmental sustainability."</li> <li>The review outlines the current advancements in bioconversion processes, providing valuable knowledge for industries aiming to minimize food waste and create value-added products.</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title is appropriate; however, you could make it more specific to attract a wider audience. For example: "A Comprehensive Review on the Bioconversion of Food Wastes and By-Products into Value-Added Nutraceuticals and Biofuels." This reflects the focus on both nutraceutical and energy applications.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sugandh Arora</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mittal School of Business, Lovely Professional University, India</b>