

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_126886
Title of the Manuscript:	Nurses' perception, barriers and the impact of sociodemographic factors of hand hygiene, towards the prevention of healthcare acquired infections in the intensive care units of three referral hospitals Rwanda.
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hand hygiene plays a key role in preventing healthcare-associated infections. However, the fact that the study was conducted in three different institutions (are the hand hygiene policies, physical conditions, and the number of patients/nurses the same in the three institutions?), that it was not conducted with scales that have proven validity and reliability, and that the sample size was small were thought to reduce the power of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the study is too long, can be shortened	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Healthcare-associated infections are defined as infections that develop within 72 hours of hospitalization, surgical infections, and surgical site infections within 30 to 90 days of the procedure. The CDC's definition is recommended.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The findings are included in each section of the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The sources are generally old, current data can be followed from the World Health Organization and CDC websites. There are many studies on this subject. Discussions can be written with current literature.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language editing is possible	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zuhal Artuvan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Toros State Hospital, Turkey</b>