

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_126886
Title of the Manuscript:	Nurses' perception, barriers and the impact of sociodemographic factors of hand hygiene, towards the prevention of healthcare acquired infections in the intensive care units of three referral hospitals Rwanda.
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is considered scientifically appropriate to some extent because it highlights the interest in a phenomenon that threatens the healthcare workers. The researcher conducted this descriptive study to emphasize the importance of paying attention to hand hygiene, considering that contact is one of the most important causes of infection transmission within critical units.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable (Nurses' perception, barriers and associated factors towards hand hygiene practices for prevention of healthcare acquired infections in the intensive care units)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suitable after making modifications in the attached file	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate with need to review some sections that's not mentioned. See journal authors guideline.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It needs to review the mentioned notes in order to enhance its scientific output.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not recent enough and need to be revised to reduce some duplicate statistics	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is good but needs grammatical review.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Mohammed Jasim Shlash</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Babylon, Iraq</b>