

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_127325
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of Trace metals Concentrations in Lake Baringo Catchment: A review
Type of Article :	Review

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Thanks for addressing majority of the contents, however, some important points in Review form 3 contained in the attached document: "3.Feedback_AJEE_127325_v1_Alb" were not touched or considered. This makes me unable to recommend it</p> <p>For instance,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-In the revised form, the title is negatively altered, it is mentioning Baringos whereas in the text, Baringo is mentioned, I don't know which one is correct.-Results and discussion, there is a sub-section called, "FLOURIDE CONCENTRATION IN THE LAKE BARINGO BAASIN", Flouride is not a trace metal, why include it??-Secondly, METAL POTENTIAL RISKS EVALUATION sub-section, what is the importance of it?? Learn how it is used in this article, https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/6688184 ,-The references I suggested to be included, none was included???-Table 3, improve clarity of its contents, change orientation of text. Since as it is in prose form, it is somehow hard to comprehend.-Consider numbering subsections under, "RESULTS AND DISCUSSION" as 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 etc-If you can create sometime and pay attention to all the comments I raised, I'm sure you article can be improved to be the best. Finally, be keen when addressing the comments, to avoid the ones in your topic.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albert Morang'a Oyugi
Department, University & Country	Egerton University, Kenya