

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_127422
Title of the Manuscript:	Emigration and its Comparative Economic Gain on the Households: A Study of Northern Kerala with a Focus on Malappuram District
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It examines the economic impact of emigration on households in northern Kerala, with a focus on trends in emigration and foreign remittances, particularly in Malappuram district. Data from the 2018 Kerala Migration Survey is used to compare households in the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, But I suggest as: Emigration and Its Comparative Economic Impact on Households: A Study of Northern Kerala with a Focus on Malappuram District	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Great, No Suggestion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript follows a rigorous experimental design with proper controls and replicates, ensuring the reliability of the results. While I lack statistical knowledge to assess the appropriateness of the statistical analyses used, the results align with previous studies. The manuscript provides valuable insights into the topic, suggesting implications for future research and practical applications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	No any	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bishnu Lamichhane
Department, University & Country	TPO Nepal, Nepal