

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_126954
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration and Practice of Teaching Methods for College Mathematics Courses Based on Flipped Classroom
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial in the scientific community, especially in STEM disciplines. It explores the effectiveness of the flipped classroom model in college mathematics courses, providing insights into active learning techniques for complex subjects. The findings could inform discussions on improving student engagement and academic performance in higher education. The manuscript emphasizes the benefits of the flipped classroom model, but further discussion on its challenges and limitations in diverse educational settings would provide a more balanced perspective.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	If possible, mention the methodology section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This communication provides a comprehensive analysis of the flipped classroom model, specifically its application in college-level mathematics. It demonstrates a thorough understanding of the theoretical foundations and practical implementation of this model. The research methodology is detailed, and empirical data supports its effectiveness in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. The study aligns with current educational trends, enhancing its credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs to be professionally edited before acceptance.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suvendu Ray
Department, University & Country	University of Kalyani, India