

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127400
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Health Impact Assessments and Eco-modernity of Sukinda Chromite Ultramafic Belt: India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
-		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>This articles basically highlights Environmental & Health Impact of Sukinda Chromite Ultramafic Belt: India on its local residential population , Due to extortion of mineral local inhabitant are highly prone to different Skin diseases and health issues, some of issue as I identified from this paper is listed below.</p> <p>Reviewers Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please arranged your refences serially.2. Please correct the front Style of Table 1(Sources) and others many places, Try to write whole manuscript in Times new Roman style.3. Rewrite and Briefly explain the important of this Topic and objectives in introduction portion.4. Please correct the Fig 4: The flow diagram that is incomplete, obscured and low resolution.5. Please write the whole manuscript in standard English language, many sentences need to corrected.6. All the diagram, Tables, Maps are different front style, Colour and low resolution were not suitable for publication need to changed it all.7. Please Write manuscript as 1. Abstract 2. Introduction 3. Methodology 4. Discussion, main heading and sub-heading have to clearly mentioned.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanu Dolui
Department, University & Country	The University of Burdwan, India