

Agro-Morphological Characterization of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Landraces from Tribal Blocks of three different Districts of Kashmir

Abstract

Eighteen maize landraces were collected from tribal areas of Kupwara, Budgam and Anantnag districts and were evaluated for agro morphological characteristics. A field experiment with 18 maize landraces was conducted at the station during Kharif 2021-2022 and the data was recorded for various quantitative and qualitative characters related to growth and yield performance. Most of the landraces showed distinct variation with regard to different growth parameters. A wide range in the quantitative characters exhibited by the landraces showed the diversity in the germplasm. Analysis of the variance showed that all the characters were highly significant among the landraces.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L., Family -*Poaceae*) is one of the most important crops in many countries being utilized as grains and fodder. Maize is emerging as third most important crop after rice and wheat, and contributes 2.5 billion dollar to Indian agriculture GDP. ~~As maize is being cultivated in diverse agro-climatic conditions, it is characterized by a number of landraces which are adapted to local climate. A large number of maize landraces with wider adaptability have been cultivated in diverse climatic conditions~~ (Prasanna,2010). ~~Crop-~~The landraces ~~are enriched with~~ ~~are the cultivated crop types with the highest~~ genetic variation ~~and as well as with the beshavet~~ adaptation to the natural and anthropological environment where they have evolved (Maxted *et al.*1997). They contain ~~genes/alleles for local environment adaptation~~ ~~locally adapted alleles~~ and represent irreplaceable bank of highly co-adapted genotypes. Information on both qualitative and quantitative morphological traits of existing maize landraces may be useful in maintaining their genetic variability and ~~preserving conservation to avoid them from~~ genetic erosion ~~of useful genes and genotypes~~ (Lucchin,2003). The characterisation and evaluation of ~~the available maize~~ germplasm is a ~~first necessary~~ ~~necessary first~~ step to facilitate breeding efforts ~~for genetic improvement~~ (Doriana *et al.*2012).

There is an important role of morphological data in respect to identification, characterization as well as management of germplasm collection (Smith and Smith,1989). Many tools are now available to study relationships among cultivars, including various types of molecular markers; however, morphological characterization is the first step in the description and classification of germplasm. The characterization of morphological variability is useful tool to identify accessions with desirable characteristics such as earliness, disease resistance, or improved ear trait (Shrestha,2013). The aim of this study was to discover possible genetic diversity in maize accessions from different tribal areas of Kupwara, Budgam and Anantnag districts of Jammu and Kashmir using morphological characters so that the information can further be used for the planning conservation, and

Commented [u1]: Mention the numbers of traits

Commented [u2]: Please mention the traits name, for example grain quality or yield and yield attributes

Commented [u3]: Please mention the analysis for the basis of this comment, ...based on ANOVA

Commented [u4]: Improvement is required in this part...

the utilization of these resources for future use in breeding programs for development of climate resilient crop varieties.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Eighteen landraces of maize have been collected from native farmers in the different tribal districts of Kashmir. The geographical location of the experiment site was 34.0073833 N latitude; 74.7936748 E longitude and at an altitude of 1,660 m above sea level. The average rainfall is 600 mm. The experimental soil was medium type with normal pH; nitrogen and potash. Total of eighteen landraces were sown in a plot size of 5 m x 4m each replicated three times in a randomized block design. The landraces were sown during the month of May 2021 and 2022 at a row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 20 cm. The recommended agronomic package of practices was followed during the crop growth period. The visual observations were recorded on 10 qualitative traits (leaf colour, stem colour, attitude of leaf blade, anthocyanin colouration of silk, ear placement, ear shape, type of grain, colour of grain, kernel row arrangement and angle between leaf blade and stem) Eleven quantitative traits viz. days to 50 % tassel anthesis, plant height, leaf width and length (leaf below upper ear), stem diameter, ear height, tassel length, as well as other traits like, maturity days, cob weight, kernel rows per cob and kernels per row were also recorded. Analyses of variance was performed on each morphological data to test the significance of variation between accessions by using OPSTAT software.

Commented [u5]: Which type of soil classification this one..

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The importance of maize germplasm characterization has been highlighted by number of studies (Kumar *et al.* 2014, Mushtaq *et al.*2016, Salami,2015).In the present study, the morphological diversity pattern of 18 maize landraces, with their agro-morphological traits were analyzed. The existing diversity was evaluated based on 21 morphological traits in 18 maize landraces. I) Qualitative traits: The observations on qualitative traits revealed that about 90 % landraces had dark green coloured leaves and 44% landraces had brownish tinge on stem at ground level. Though 22% landraces had purple colored stem while as the rest had green colored stem. Most of landraces (72 %) showed straight attitude of leaf blade. Majority of landraces (62%) exhibited purple colored silk whileas the rest 38% had green colored silk. In most cases the ear (cob) was placed on plant either at middle or at low height (27%). These different landraces showed conico-cylindrical (22%) and cylindrical (55%) as well as conical type(22%) of ear shape. Grain colour is the most variable character observed; about 16 % landraces had yellow colour grains and other landraces with grain colour like white(16%) , red(22%), or(22%) and dark brown (11%) were also observed. Straight kernel row arrangement was found abundant trait with about 44% which was followed by curved and irregular kernel row arrangement in equal proportions. These results revealed that the landraces possessed higher degree of variability.

II) Quantitative traits:

The mean values of quantitative traits revealed traits are presented in Table -1. The evaluation of 11 quantitative traits for 18 maize landraces, showed the variation in plant height (128-184 cm), crop maturity days (91 to 123 days), days to tasseling (71-77 days). The findings of the present study in Table 1 showed that stem diameter was most varied trait (18.44%), followed by kernels per row (18.14 %) and leaf width (16.42 %). Among the studied traits, days for maturity days showed the lowest variation (10.62%). The leaf width and leaf length ranged between 3.6 to 8.1 cm and 5.7 to 9.4 cm respectively. The days required for 50% tassel anthesis was highest (79 days) in KDM- 105 and lowest (70 days) in M- 115. Tassel length was lowest (14.6 cm) in KDM-106 and highest (25.2 cm) in KDM-116. The yield attributing characters like number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row and cob weight were evaluated. The kernels per row had a wide range of 28-48 whereas 12-20 kernel rows per ear were also recorded. Among the morphological characteristics plant height, stem diameter, leaf length and width, ear length and diameter, number of rows per ear and grain colour, contributed most in overall variability among maize landraces. Wasala *et al.*(2013) studied morphological characters in multi-location trial for 48 landrace accessions of maize. They also observed that grain yield, ear diameter and kernel weight showed significant differences among accessions. Crop maturity days are important trait (Shrestha,2013) in breeder's point of view as landraces with minimum maturity can be explored in development of early variety. Some traits are directly contributing in grain yield (Kumar *et al.* 2014) like ear length, ear diameter, rows per ear etc. Grain colour is one of the diverse traits which may facilitate for discrimination of germplasm. Among the studied maize landraces some of the landraces exhibit some of these desirable traits which have more importance in breeding new varieties. Galarreta and Alvarez (2001) also suggested that the traits like days to flowering, plant height and ear placement height, ear length, ear diameter, no. of rows per ear are more suitable for characterization and classification of maize landraces. Takawale *et al.*(2009) suggested that the unexploited maize germplasm from tribal areas of the country can be tapped and utilized for further breeding programme.

Table 1 : Mean values of quantitative traits of maize landraces

Land Races	Days to 50% tasselling	Plant height (cm)	leaf length (cm)	Stem Diameter (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	ear height (cm)	Tassel length (cm)	Maturity days	Cob wt. (g)	Rows /cob	Kernel s/row
KDM--101	72	168	9.5	2.8	3.6	71.3	23.5	124	4.4	14	35
KDM--102	74	182	7.3	3.5	3.9	68.4	12.4	123	5.2	12	38
KDM--103	76	184	8.5	3.6	5.8	64.3	24.2	120	3.8	14	44
KDM--104	77	155	7.6	2.8	5.4	73.1	21.3	112	3.6	16	47
KDM--105	79	128	8.6	2.6	6.2	74.3	18.3	124	4.9	12	36
KDM--106	76	163	9.4	3.7	4.1	69.4	14.6	122	5.4	16	32
KDM--107	74	176	8.5	3.2	7.4	79.3	19.6	125	4.7	12	28
KDM--108	76	174	9.2	2.6	5.9	8.3	21.5	124	3.8	14	36
KDM--109	77	167	7.8	3.8	6.4	82.4	18.7	95	4.9	16	34
KDM--110	72	168	6.8	2.7	6.4	62.5	19.5	122	4.5	12	29
KDM--111	74	145	7.6	3.7	4.5	61.7	21.6	124	5.4	10	36

KDM--112	73	164	8.4	3.6	6.2	64.9	17.6	116	3.2	14	34
KDM--113	72	178	6.4	2.8	5.8	73.5	16.9	121	5.1	12	38
KDM--114	71	174	8.9	3.4	6.7	61.8	17.5	124	5.2	16	42
KDM--115	70	184	5.7	3.6	7.9	64.9	23.8	116	4.3	14	48
KDM--116	75	138	7.9	4.2	8.1	62.8	25.2	123	4.9	16	46
KDM--117	77	128	8.7	3.8	7.5	45.3	24.3	115	3.5	12	24
KDM--118	75	169	7.4	4.7	5.3	58.6	21.3	123	4.8	14	26
KDM--119	76	154	8.3	4.9	6.4	68.7	24.6	98	4.6	18	32
KDM--120	73	165	6.8	5.1	6.8	67.3	20.6	109	5.2	20	39
KDM--121	75	178	7.2	4.6	7.4	74.5	18.5	106	4.9	16	42
SE (m)	0.5	3.69	0.22	0.16	0.27	3.3	0.54	0.43	0.14	0.52	1.47
CV %	16.34	11.21	12.12	18.41	16.42	14.36	11.94	10.62	14.31	16.04	18.14

Table 2: Qualitative character description of landraces of maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Land Races	Days to 50% tasselling	Plant height (cm)	leaf length (cm)	Stem Diameter (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	ear height (cm)	Tassel length (cm)	Maturity days	Cob wt. (g)	Rows /cob	Kernel s/row
KDM--101	72	168	9.5	2.8	3.6	71.3	23.5	124	4.4	14	35
KDM--102	74	182	7.3	3.5	3.9	68.4	12.4	123	5.2	12	38
KDM--103	76	184	8.5	3.6	5.8	64.3	24.2	120	3.8	14	44
KDM--104	77	155	7.6	2.8	5.4	73.1	21.3	112	3.6	16	47
KDM--105	79	128	8.6	2.6	6.2	74.3	18.3	124	4.9	12	36
KDM--106	76	163	9.4	3.7	4.1	69.4	14.6	122	5.4	16	32
KDM--107	74	176	8.5	3.2	7.4	79.3	19.6	125	4.7	12	28
KDM--108	76	174	9.2	2.6	5.9	8.3	21.5	124	3.8	14	36
KDM--109	77	167	7.8	3.8	6.4	82.4	18.7	95	4.9	16	34
KDM--110	72	168	6.8	2.7	6.4	62.5	19.5	122	4.5	12	29
KDM--111	74	145	7.6	3.7	4.5	61.7	21.6	124	5.4	10	36
KDM--112	73	164	8.4	3.6	6.2	64.9	17.6	116	3.2	14	34
KDM--113	72	178	6.4	2.8	5.8	73.5	16.9	121	5.1	12	38
KDM--114	71	174	8.9	3.4	6.7	61.8	17.5	124	5.2	16	42
KDM--115	70	184	5.7	3.6	7.9	64.9	23.8	116	4.3	14	48
KDM--116	75	138	7.9	4.2	8.1	62.8	25.2	123	4.9	16	46
KDM--117	77	128	8.7	3.8	7.5	45.3	24.3	115	3.5	12	24
KDM--118	75	169	7.4	4.7	5.3	58.6	21.3	123	4.8	14	26
KDM--119	76	154	8.3	4.9	6.4	68.7	24.6	98	4.6	18	32
KDM--120	73	165	6.8	5.1	6.8	67.3	20.6	109	5.2	20	39
KDM--121	75	178	7.2	4.6	7.4	74.5	18.5	106	4.9	16	42
SE (m)	0.5	3.69	0.22	0.16	0.27	3.3	0.54	0.43	0.14	0.52	1.47
CV %	16.34	11.21	12.12	18.41	16.42	14.36	11.94	10.62	14.31	16.04	18.14

CONCLUSION

Present work highlights the vast agro-morphological diversity between studied landraces. The findings of the present study may provide a source of data related to variation required for future breeding programs. By studying morphological traits in maize landraces, the information for planning the conservation and utilization of these resources can be generated for future breeding programs. These landraces will be subjected to stress tolerance and molecular studies to ensure their inclusion in future breeding programmes for developing varieties that are best suited to climate smart agriculture.

References

1. Galarreta De, J. I. R. and Alvarez, A., Morphological classification of maize landraces from northern Spain. *Genet. Resour. Crop Ev.* **48**: 391-400. (2001)
2. Kumar, A., Kumari, J., Rana, J. C., Paul, D., Kumar, R., Singh H. and Singh, T. P., Variation in agronomic performance and grain quality of Indian maize landraces of high altitude region of Jammu Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh. *Maize Genetics Cooperation Newsletter* **88**: 1-11 (2014). <https://mnl.maizegdb.org/88/pdf/01kumar.pdf>
3. Lucchin, M., Barcaccia, G. and Parrini, P., Characterization of flint maize (*Zea mays* L. con var. *mays*) Italian landrace: I. Morpho-phenological and agronomic traits. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **50**: 315–327 (2003).
4. Maxted, N., Ford-Lloyd, B. and Hawkes, J. G., Complementary conservation strategies. In: Maxted, N., Ford of Lloyd, B. and Hawkes, J. G., (ed.), *Plant Genetic Conservation: The in-situ Approach*, ISBN 0412637308, Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 15–40. (1997).
5. Mercer, K., A'ngel Mart'inez-Va'squez and Perales, H. R., Asymmetrical local adaptation of maize landraces along an altitudinal gradient. *Evolutionary Applications* **1**: 489–500 (2008).
6. Mushtaq, M., Bhat, M. A., Bhat, J. A., Mukhtar, S. and Shah, A. A., Comparative Analysis Of Genetic Diversity Of Maize Inbred Lines From Kashmir Valley Using Agro-Morphological and SSR Markers *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics* . **48(4)**: 518-527 (2016).
7. Prassana, B. M., Phenotypic and molecular diversity of maize landraces: characterization and utilization. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*. **70**: 315–27 (2010).
8. Shrestha, J., Agro- morphological characterization of maize inbred lines. *Sky Journal of Agricultural Research*, **2(6)**: 85-87 (2013).
9. Salami, H. A., Adjanooun, A., Padonou, W., Yacoubou, A. M., Aly, D., Yallou, C., Sina, H. and Baba-Moussa, L., Morphological Diversity of Corn's (*Zea mays* L.) local cultivar and improved varieties in central and north of Benin. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, **6**: 2867-2877. (2015). <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2015.618284>
10. Wasala, S. K., Guleria, S. K., Sekhar, J.C., Mahajan, V., Srinivasan, K., Parsad, R. and

Prasanna, B. M., Analysis of yield performance and genotype × environment effects on selected maize (*Zea mays* L.) landrace accessions of India *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **83(3)**: 287–93 (2013).

11. Doriana B. ,Fetah E.,Belul G. and Valbona H.2012. Evaluation of some morphological characteristics in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agriculture & Forestry*, Vol. 58. Issue 2: 65-73.

UNDER PEER REVIEW