

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126736
Title of the Manuscript:	Agro-Morphological Characterization of Maize (Zea mays L.) Landraces from Tribal Blocks of three different Districts of Kashmir
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to know about the important unique features of Maize landraces of Kashmir tribal areas and their further use in breeding programmes for genetic improvement for which information on genetic variability and diversity is essential based on standard analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Agro-Morphological Characterization of Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) Landraces from Tribal Regions of Kashmir	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is not comprehensive, add the no of trait studied, mention the findings based on statistical analysis, add PCA and other diversity analysis such as UPGMA clustering analysis.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is not appropriate, No genetic variability analysis and diversity analysis, simply wrote about mean performance.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is required to reveal the unique features of important maize landraces of Kashmir, which might have unique yield and grain quality traits adaptable to colder regions. This study is interesting to know about rare landraces of maize adaptable to colder regions. However, authors have not done proper statistical analysis and its interpretation, which is highly required.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This study is incomplete because of lack of statistical analysis and discussion, because of these important latest references are missing.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language of the manuscript is very poor, requires lot of improvement and not suitable for scholarly communications	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harendra Verma
Department, University & Country	ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Nagaland Centre, India