

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_127183
Title of the Manuscript:	AN APPROACH TO ANTI-DIABETIC POTENTIAL OF PUTRANJIVA ROXBURGHII WALL .LEAVES BY IN VITRO MODELS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper deals with the hypoglycaemic effects of leaves of Putranjeeva roxberghii where in expressed that the ethanolic extract of its leaves demonstrated significant antidiabetic activity through the inhibition of α -amylase enzyme and reducing starch breakdown in invitro models and expressed its action, similar to the standard drug metformin. Phytochemical analysis of the leaf extract express that the presence of bioactive compounds like tannins, flavonoids, and phenols, which contribute to this effect.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is scientifically correct as it represents the in vitro model to inhibit the activity of the leaf extract to reduce the glucose level in the blood. This activity will definitely fruitful for reducing the diabetic action in human being.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Minor REVISION comments	Not required	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Paper is highly appreciable and touching the present scenario in world population with increasing diabetic patients.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rama Shnkar
Department, University & Country	Patanjali Research Foundation, India