

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_126384
Title of the Manuscript:	ADVANCES IN THE ORAL DELIVERY OF KETOPROFEN: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript, " <i>Advances in the Oral Delivery of Ketoprofen: A Review</i> ," holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses the challenges associated with the oral administration of ketoprofen, a widely used anti-inflammatory drug. I appreciate the manuscript for its comprehensive overview of emerging formulations, which not only broadens the understanding of ketoprofen delivery strategies but also opens avenues for further research in personalized and safer drug delivery methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A more comprehensive title could be: "Current Advances and Future Prospects in the Oral Delivery of Ketoprofen: An In-Depth Review." This title broadens the scope, allowing the authors to discuss both present advancements and anticipated developments in ketoprofen oral delivery strategies.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To make the abstract of " <i>Advances in the Oral Delivery of Ketoprofen: A Review</i> " more comprehensive, consider the following suggestions: 1. Clearly state the main objectives of the review, emphasizing why advances in ketoprofen oral delivery are needed. 2. Provide a brief mention of the types of delivery systems discussed in the review, such as nanoparticle-based systems, controlled-release formulations, or micelles, to give readers an idea of the specific advancements explored.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to wide range of current literature, using reputable sources that lend credibility and depth to the discussion. Each delivery system is explained with a strong foundation in pharmaceutical principles. The manuscript's methodical approach to evaluating both established and emerging technologies demonstrates technical accuracy and contributes valuable insights to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Sufficient one. Author may cite this Razi Ullah Khan M, Hussain M, Masood Raza S, Rashid Nazir S, Ahmed A, and Hasnain S, Formulation Design and In-Vitro Characterization of Etoricoxib Cream for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2014, 10 (1): 93-104.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The article may be published after minor revisions suggested. Overall a good addition in scientific field.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Razi Ullah Khan
Department, University & Country	The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan