

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125476
Title of the Manuscript:	Vegetable Production Techniques for Livelihood security for Small and Marginal Farmers - A Review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review on "Vegetable Production Techniques for Livelihood Security for Small and Marginal Farmers" highlights a critical area in agricultural research and practice, especially in developing economies where small and marginal farmers dominate. The paper touches on important aspects of vegetable production, namely crop management, varieties released, irrigation management, nutrient management, insect and pest management, and disease management. These factors are integral for improving productivity and ensuring livelihood security for farmers with limited resources.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections are arranged appropriately	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review provides a comprehensive overview of the technical aspects of vegetable production techniques. However, it could benefit from a more farmer-centric approach by incorporating on-ground realities, challenges, and success stories from small and marginal farmers. While the scientific concepts are adequately addressed, the review could make a stronger connection between these techniques and their practical application in the field by smallholder farmers. Including more case studies, socio-economic constraints, and participatory approaches to technology adoption could make the review more robust and impactful for the intended beneficiaries.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Spelling and grammatical errors should be corrected in the manuscript as well as in the references. References should be arranged according to the journal's guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjali Kumari Jha
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India