

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124870
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodontitis an essential comorbidity in CKD: A review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study highlights the impact of periodontal health status on chronic renal diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Suggested title: Periodontitis as a significant comorbidity in chronic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the comment file	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need additional references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The manuscript title should align with objective of the study and study design <p>Material and method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">It is advisable to clarify the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria of the study design, as bullet pointsPlease specify the full name and site of the medical center that sample were takenPlease mention the ethical approvalUse the full term and its abbreviation the first time, and thereafter use the abbreviation only. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The conclusion should be shortened.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karrar Najeh Shareef
Department, University & Country	University of Alkafeel, Iraq