

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126488
Title of the Manuscript:	"Review on Modern Breeding and Biotechnological Techniques for Enhancing Breeding Potential of Legume Crops"
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Legume crops are one of the important source of nutrients and here author discussed about new biotechnological tools which is one of the important factor for next generation breeding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good but its too long please reduce this.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes its good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are enough, please verify once again references.	
Minor REVISION comments	It can be considered after correction of some grammatical error.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I would like to suggest to add one diagram and table which should be creative. Author choosed a very important topic so, I will appreciate if this manuscript is accepted after this addition	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafar Imam
Department, University & Country	Government Polytechnic College, Bhagalpur, India