

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126485
Title of the Manuscript:	"Nanotechnology applications in improving fruit production and quality."
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment: The manuscript seems to be good for publication.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an excellent manuscript on the nanoparticles with respect to its application for prolonging the shelf life of fruits also it encloses various technologies of nanoparticles used which can be milestone in import and export of vegetables and fruits also in maintaining its nutritional value.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, with respect to the content of the article the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good in condition but the inclusion of information related to the vegetable use of this nanotechnology can make it more good I think.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection and structure of manuscripts are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive approach to using nanoparticles for extending fruit shelf life. The authors employ a meticulous experimental design, incorporating controlled conditions and reproducible methods that ensure the reliability of the findings. By selecting nanoparticles known for their preservation potential and analyzing their impact on fruit quality parameters over time, the study provides clear, evidence-based insights.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are good to go but some of them are from before 2015, if author manages them to find the recent reviews it would be more good.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language is good and easy to understand.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Vinod Hole
Department, University & Country	Abhinav Education Society's College of Pharmacy, India