

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_127339
Title of the Manuscript:	Fabrication of ZrO ₂ Coating For Highly Solid Phase Microextraction of Benzotriazole Ultraviolet Filters in different water samples
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript, "Fabrication of ZrO ₂ Coating For Highly Solid Phase Microextraction of Benzotriazole Ultraviolet Filters in different water samples," demonstrates a comprehensive and well-executed study in environmental sciences. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted after minor revisions are made. Here are some substantial points to consider.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides an adequate summary of the research, but it would benefit from a more concise and focused presentation. Include a clear statement of the main findings and the broader significance of the study. This will help readers quickly grasp the importance of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The introduction section provides a good background on the importance of Benzotriazole Ultraviolet Filters in different water samples but could benefit from a more concise and focused presentation of the research problem and objectives Methods: Consider adding more specifics about conditions, equipment, and precise measurements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ensure that all figures and tables are referenced in the main text and explained adequately. Every analysis and characterization data needs to be supported by references	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar and Language: The manuscript should undergo careful proofreading for grammatical and language issues. Please check of spelling.	
Optional/General comments	In summary, this manuscript contributes significantly to the field of highly solid phase microextraction of benzotriazole ultraviolet filters in different water samples. Addressing the above-mentioned points will improve the overall quality and clarity of the manuscript, making it more accessible and meaningful to a broader audience.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	La Ode Agus Salim
Department, University & Country	Institut Sains Teknologi dan Kesehatan 'Aisyiyah Kendari, Indonesia