

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_125350
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical Options for Body Weight Estimation: Prediction of Body Weights of Indigenous Sheep Populations from their Linear Body Measurements
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is good. I marked the things to be done in the main text. It would be better if these were corrected.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs to revise. I have added in text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Suitable	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has clearly demonstrated that the analyses made are accurate and the purpose of the study is well established.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	A few required references from the last 5 years can be added. I have add some same study. The Author also find same study. 'Uztemur, A. and Orman, A., (2024). Correlation between body measurements of Gazella marica fawns after weaning and estimating body weight from these measurements. Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi, 30 (5): 611-618. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2024.32011" ' Mohammad MT, Rafeeq M, Bajwa MA, Awan MA, Abbas F, Waheed A, Bukhari FA, Akhtar P: Prediction of body weight from body measurements using regression tree (RT) method for indigenous sheep breeds in Balochistan, Pakistan. J Anim Plant Sci, 22 (1): 20-24, 2012."	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor Revisions. Also It needs to some English correction. Please before resubmitting. Author should be Checked for English revisions by the Language editor.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I have added in text. I also want to see after revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adil Uztemur
Department, University & Country	Bursa Uludag University, Turkiye