

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126964
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Security Policy in Tanzania: A Review of Benefits, Challenges and Effectiveness
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Publication of research paper, in general, is a crucial step in the scientific method or empirical investigation because it enables researchers to publish their work and have it evaluated by colleagues in the same field. It is a crucial means by which scientists can inform the scientific community about their findings, expertise, and concepts. In this review, the researcher has focused the challenges, opportunities and recommendations of the social security systems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In general, this review paper title should match the content of the chapter rather than the other way around. In this case, the researcher's review has a catchy title. The young researcher was able to understand the review's title. Title of the review paper should attract the reader's attention. The title should be concise, informative, catch the reader's attention. Social Security Policy in Tanzania: A Review of Benefits, Challenges and Effectiveness.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	An abstract should be sorting summary of completed research paper but give the overall idea: What was done, What was found & What are the main conclusions. The most central elements of the research article which luring other people to read it & may also influence the acceptance of the article. Generally, an abstract highlights its objectives, methods, key findings, conclusions and recommendations as well. Here, the researcher has focused as well with all contents. Hence, this paper includes totally 212 words . Related key words are also followed by an abstract .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Research paper comprises of 4 parts: (1) Introduction, (2) Methodology, (3) Results & Discussion, and (5) Conclusion. The researcher has focused IMRD as well.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher has focused on social security policy related research questions and started with good methodology and followed by results and discussion. Finally, the researcher has indentified the positively impact on society based on the good methodology. The recommendations are pointed out clearly and finally, the result and implications to the outside world for the benefit of the society.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.-	Yes , references are sufficient and all are under criteria. Hence, the researcher has used 21 references in this article and most of the references focus on recent study periods.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Enough English has been used by the researcher for academic communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.S.Jeyaraj
Department, University & Country	Sino-British College (Partnership program with Staffordshire University, UK) Guangxi Minzu University, China