

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_122694
Title of the Manuscript:	Building Effective Values Educators: The Role of Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for understanding the role of emotional intelligence and self-efficacy in values education. It provides a valuable framework for developing effective educators, emphasizing self-awareness, empathy, and resilience. Its findings have important implications for research, practice, and policy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Please make sure that objectives, findings, and conclusions are aligned so as to make the article up to the mark.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes The method part is one of the most critical sections of proper research. The methods section is precise. However, it still needs some clarification. Write the design of the study with justification, Population of the study, sampling of the study and research instrument either self-made or adopted with reliability or validity of the instruments in both case.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for understanding the role of emotional intelligence and self-efficacy in values education. It provides a valuable framework for developing effective educators, emphasizing self-awareness, empathy, and resilience. Its findings have important implications for research, practice, and policy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes but Reference should be cross-checked with in-text citation and formatted as per APA referencing style.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Explore nuances in emotional intelligence and self-efficacy.- Add practical applications and case studies.- Examine cultural and contextual factors.- Discuss implications for teacher education.- Investigate emotional intelligence and self-efficacy in mitigating burnout.- Define "values educators" more clearly.- Examine intersection with empathy, compassion, and mindfulness.- Provide more concrete examples.- Consider longitudinal studies.- Examine impact on student outcomes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safi Ullah
Department, University & Country	Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar, Pakistan